

ಅಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೇನು ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿವೆಯೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕವಾಗಿ ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸುವ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡುವುದೇ ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—The presumption is that he is doing the job.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್.—ನಾನೇ ಬುದ್ಧಿಶಾಲಿ ರಾವ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಸನ್ಸ್, ಬೋಡೇ ಈಶ್ವರರಾವ್ ವ.ಪು.ಟಿ.ಆರ್. ಮಿರ್ಸ್ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಟರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಬರೆದಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ಆದರೆ ಆದರ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೇನು ಕೈಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಲಿ ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I will get the necessary report.

Date of birth of Sri Govinda Sharma, Assistant Sessions Judge, Bangalore.

Q.—263. Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

whether, and if so, why an explanation regarding his date of birth was urgently required from Sri Govinda Sharma, Assistant Sessions Judge, Bangalore, on the very day (29th May 1953) on which he was to deliver Judgment in a case of alleged arson in Mattedoddi Village in Ramagaram Taluk ?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

The issue of Sri Govinda Sharma's date of birth is pending for a long time. Enquiries in the institutions in which he studied or had contact were going on. The last reply from the Director of Public Instruction was on 21st May 1953; the Under Secretary to Government, (Law Department) addressed a letter on 29th May 1953 to Registrar of the High Court to secure his explanation as to the discrepancy between his declaration and the dates furnished by the several institutions. The Registrar of the High Court replied on 17th June 1953 enclosing the verification statement, dated 4th June 1953 of Sri Sharma.

The correspondence was of a routine nature and had nothing to do with the Judgment of the case referred to.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know since when this question of Sri Govinda Sharma's date of birth is pending before Government ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is pending for a long time. That is the answer.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Perhaps, it is from the year 1950.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Which are the institutions in which enquiries are being made and contacts are going on, Sir ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Maharaja's College, Director of Public Instruction and Law College, Madras and the Mysore Insurance Department and the University of Travancore.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Sir, may I know what was the nature of declaration that is referred to in the answer as having been made by the officer when he joined the service ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—In his declaration he gave a different date and therefore his date of birth had to be verified with reference to the date he has furnished in the institutions in which he studied previously. Therefore the Government requested several institutions in which the date of birth is given by this officer when he was studying there. On 21st May 1953, the Director of Public Instruction sent the particulars.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether there was any discrepancy in the date furnished by the Director of Public Instruction after calling for particulars from the various Institutions ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Yes.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Was there also a discrepancy between these dates and the date as contained in the declaration made by Sri Govinda Sharma ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Therefore his explanation was called for.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Which was the earlier date and which was the later date?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The date of birth in the declaration given by the Officer was 15th July 1901 whereas in the several colleges he has studied he has given the date as 15th July 1898.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Therefore if the earlier date has been accepted, the Officer has been due to retire on July 1953. Is that not the position?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Yes.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Was it a communication to this effect that was sent round by the Government to the High Court?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—It is not that.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know what is the nature of the communication that went from the Government to the High Court?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—In the usual course, the Under Secretary addressed the Registrar of the High Court to get the explanation of the officer regarding the discrepancy. That is the nature of the communication.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What is the explanation furnished by this officer?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I do not think it is necessary for me to disclose that.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What is the ground on which you claim the privilege of being confidential?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—If the Chair wants me to answer, I am prepared to do so.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is treated as confidential.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know what was the particular urgency of sending this communication on that particular date?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—There is no particular urgency. In the usual course it was addressed.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether a communication has been received from the High Court containing the explanation of Sri Govinda Sharma?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I think some communication has been received.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Does it contain the explanation? That is what I want to know.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Yes.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Is it a fact that the Government have made up their mind to accept the later date of birth in this particular case?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Whatever is just and legal will be done.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—I know the Government have a keen sense of justice in the matter. I want to know whether it is a fact that the Government have made up their mind to accept the latest date of birth.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is an insinuation.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—If the cap fits the Chief Minister, he can wear it.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The cap fits many more people than me. It is not one way traffic.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—That is all right.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Is it a fact that this case of Mattedoddi Village was instituted at the instance of one Sri V. Nanjappa who is an Agricultural Inspector under suspension?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Minister need not answer. There is the last line in the answer, namely, that this has nothing to do with the Judgment.

9 A.M.

Tapping of Toddy in Kadur Taluk.

Q.—168. Sri Y. M. CHANDRA-SEKHARAI (Kadur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they have declared Kadur Taluk in Chikmagalur District as an area where prohibition is in force;

(b) if so, whether permission has been granted to tap date trees;

(c) the places in which and the number of trees from which tapping has been permitted;

(d) whether it has come to their notice that toddy is being sold in the places where tapping has been permitted;

(e) whether arrangements would be made to stop the tapping of toddy this year in this taluk?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) For particulars of places for 1952-53, please see the list appended.

(d) No.

(e) No.

List of villages in Kadur Taluk where tapping of date trees was allowed and the number of trees tapped during 1952-53.

Sl. No. Names of villages Number of trees tapped

| | | | |
|-------------|-------------------|----|-----------|
| 1. | Tangli | .. | 2,439 |
| 2. | Birur A. M. Kaval | .. | 1,976 |
| 3. | Maladevihalli | .. | 785 |
| 4. | Chokkapura | .. | 99 |
| 5. | Surapura | .. | 663 |
| 6. | Yellagondanahalli | .. | 176 |
| 7. | Khandugadahalli | .. | 412 |
| 8. | Yellambalase | .. | 42 |
| 9. | Kodihalli | .. | 285 |
| 10. | Byagadehalli | .. | 30 |
| 11. | Ullinagur | .. | 520 |
| 12. | Macheri | .. | 4,359 |
| 13. | Kadur | .. | 410 |
| 14. | Linglapur | .. | 660 |
| 15. | Mathighatta | .. | 80 |
| 16. | Kurubarahalli | .. | 306 |
| 17. | Malleswara | .. | 28 |
| 18. | Chikka Tangali | .. | 168 |
| Grand Total | | | .. 13,438 |

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—ಕಡೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾನಾರೋಧ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲದೆಯೇ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ 'ಹೌದು' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ; ಮರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ 'ಹೌದು' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದೇ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲೂ ಹೆಂಡವನ್ನು ಮಾರುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಚಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ 'ಇಲ್ಲ' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—ಕಡೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮರ ಕಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ 20 ಹಳ್ಳಿಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. 20 ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ, 50 ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾರುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಚಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಮಾರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ, ಅದನ್ನು ತಪ್ಪಿಸಲು ಸಾಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಬಂದೂಕು ಬನ್ನಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಕಾನೂನು ಉಲ್ಲಂಘನೆ ನಡೆದಿರುವ ನಿದರ್ಶನಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—ಇದೇ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಈ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ monopoly ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು 100-200 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಚಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.